RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 09 2007

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, via EFS-Web, on October 13, 2006.

Thomas M. Hardman

/Thomas M. Hardman/

Attorney for Applicants

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.	:	10/823,465	Confirmation No.: 4603
Applicant	:	Walter E. Red et al.	
Title	:	SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR CONTROLLING AND MONITORING MULTIPLE ELECTRONIC DEVICES	
Filed	:	April 13, 2004	
TC/A.U.	:	2121	
Examiner	:	Norton, Jennifer L.	
Docket No.	:	1737.2.15	
Customer No.	:	21552	

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed June 14, 2006, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8 of this paper.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method for controlling electronic devices through a host device, the method comprising:

establishing frequency-based, real-time electronic communications over a network between the host device and a controlled device, wherein electronic communication between the host device and the controlled device always occurs at an assigned control frequency;

assigning [[a]] the control frequency for the controlled device using a 2^N time slicing algorithm, where N is a non-negative integer, wherein each control frequency that is assigned has a value of 2^N;

generating, at the host device, control input for the controlled device; and

sending the control input to the controlled device at the assigned control frequency.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising receiving, at the host device, output from the controlled device in response to the control input.

3. (Cancelled)

4. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising establishing real-time electronic communications with a plurality of controlled devices and assigning a discrete control frequency for each controlled device using the 2^N time slicing algorithm, where N is a non-negative integer.

5. (Original) The method of claim 4, wherein N is independently determined for each controlled device of the plurality of the controlled devices.

PAGE 4/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the 2^N time slicing algorithm comprises assigning the control frequency at 2^N hertz, where N is a non-negative integer that will yield a discrete control frequency in proximity to a preferred control frequency of the controlled device.

7. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising initiating a control loop process on the host device when electronic communication is established with a controlled device.

8. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising accessing the host device from a remote computing device via the Internet.

9. (Original) The method of claim 8, further comprising providing information relating to the controlled device to a user at the remote computing device.

10. (Original) The method of claim 9, further comprising receiving user input at the host device from the user at the remote computing device, wherein the input relates to the controlled device.

11. (Currently Amended) A computing device configured for controlling electronic devices, the computing device comprising:

a processor;

memory in electronic communication with the processor; and

executable instructions executable by the processor, wherein the executable instructions are configured to implement a method comprising:

establishing frequency-based, real-time electronic communications over a network between the computing device and a controlled device, wherein electronic communication between the computing device and the controlled device always occurs at an assigned control frequency;

PAGE 5/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

assigning [[a]] the control frequency for the controlled device using a 2^N time slicing algorithm, wherein N is a non-negative integer, wherein each control frequency that is assigned has a value of 2^N;

generating, at the computing device, control input for the controlled device; and

sending the control input to the controlled device at the assigned control frequency.

12. (Original) The computing device of claim 11, wherein the method further comprises receiving, at the computing device, output from the controlled device in response to the control input.

13. (Cancelled)

14. (Original) The computing device of claim 11, wherein the method further comprises establishing real-time electronic communications with a plurality of controlled devices and assigning a discrete control frequency for each controlled device using the 2^N time slicing algorithm, where N is a non-negative integer.

15. (Original) The computing device of claim 14, wherein N is independently determined for each controlled device of the plurality of controlled devices.

16. (Original) The computing device of claim 11, wherein the 2^N time slicing algorithm comprises assigning the control frequency at 2^N hertz, where N is a non-negative integer that will yield a discrete control frequency in proximity to a preferred control frequency of the controlled device.

PAGE 6/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

17. (Original) The computing device of claim 11, wherein the method further comprises initiating a control loop process on the computing device when electronic communication is established with a controlled device.

18. (Original) The computing device of claim 17, wherein the method further comprises initiating a torque/current control loop process at a microcontroller on the controlled device when the controlled device comprises a motor.

19. (Original) The computing device of claim 11, wherein the method further comprises accessing the computing device from a remote computing device via the Internet.

20. (Original) The computing device of claim 19, wherein the method further comprises providing information relating to the controlled device to a user at the remote computing device.

21. (Original) The computing device of claim 20, wherein the method further comprises receiving user input at the computing device from the user at the remote computing device, wherein the input relates to the controlled device.

22. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable medium for storing program data, wherein the program data comprises executable instructions for implementing a method in a computing device for controlling electronic devices, the method comprising:

establishing frequency-based, real-time electronic communications over a network between the computing device and a controlled device, wherein electronic communication between the computing device and the controlled device always occurs at an assigned control frequency;

assigning [[a]] the control frequency for the controlled device using a 2^N time slicing algorithm, where N is a non-negative integer, wherein each control frequency that is assigned has a value of 2^N;

PAGE 7/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

generating, at the computing device, control input for the controlled device; and

sending the control input to the controlled device at the assigned control frequency.

23. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 22, wherein the method further comprises receiving, at the computing device, output from the controlled device in response to the control input.

24. (Cancelled)

25. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 22, wherein the method further comprises establishing real-time electronic communications with a plurality of controlled devices and assigning a discrete control frequency for each controlled device using the 2^N time slicing algorithm, where N is a non-negative integer.

26. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 25, wherein N is independently determined for each controlled device of the plurality of controlled devices.

27. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 22, wherein the 2^N time slicing algorithm comprises assigning the control frequency at 2^N hertz, where N is a non-negative integer that will yield a discrete control frequency in proximity to a preferred control frequency of the controlled device.

28. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 22, wherein the method further comprises initiating a control loop process on the computing device when electronic communication is established with a controlled device.

29. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 22, wherein the method further comprises accessing the computing device from a remote computing device via the Internet.

PAGE 8/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

30. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 29, wherein the method further comprises providing information relating to the controlled device to a user at the remote computing device.

31. (Original) The computer-readable medium of claim 30, wherein the method further comprises receiving user input at the computing device from the user at the remote computing device, wherein the input relates to the controlled device.

PAGE 9/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-31 are pending in the present application. The Office Action mailed June 14, 2006 rejected claims 1-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments to the claims and the following remarks.

Support for the above amendments may be found throughout Applicants' specification and drawings. For purposes of example, Applicants respectfully refer the Examiner to paragraphs [47]-[48], [52] and [79] of Applicants' specification, and to Figures 1-2.

Rejection of Claims 1-31 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Office Action rejected claims 1-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on U.S. Patent No. 6,499,054 (hereinafter, "Hesselink") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,028,412 (hereinafter, "Shine"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The M.P.E.P. states that

> To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure.
>
> The initial burden is on the examiner to provide some suggestion of the desirability of doing what the inventor has done. To support the conclusion that the claimed invention is directed to obvious subject matter, either the references must expressly or impliedly suggest the claimed invention or the examiner must present a convincing line of reasoning as to why the artisan would have found the claimed invention to have been obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

M.P.E.P. § 2142.

PAGE 10/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims at issue are patentably distinct from the cited references. The cited references do not disclose, teach or suggest all of the limitations in these claims.

There are three independent claims at issue: claims 1, 11 and 22. Claims 1, 11 and 22 will be referred to collectively herein as the "independent claims."

Hesselink does not teach or suggest "establishing frequency-based, real-time electronic communications over a network between the host[1] device and a controlled device," as recited in the independent claims. Hesselink relates generally to "remote control of physical processes in a laboratory." Hesselink, col. 1, lines 9-10. However, the control network in Hesselink is not configured for "frequency-based, real-time electronic communications," as recited in claim 1. Hesselink indicates that the control network operates in accordance with the TCP/IP protocol. See id., col. 4, lines 56-63. The TCP/IP protocol is a collision-based packet sending protocol. In other words, messages are broadcast to recipients, but if packet collisions occur (i.e., the messages are not received by the recipient device), they are re-broadcast by the broadcasting device. Accordingly, the control network in Hesselink is not designed for "frequency-based, real-time electronic communications" as required by the independent claims.

Applicants acknowledge that Hesselink refers to "[o]bserving physical processes in real-time via the Internet." Hesselink, col. 10, lines 2-3. However, even though Hesselink uses the term "real-time," Hesselink is not referring to the "frequency-based, real-time electronic communications over a network" recited in claim 1. One of ordinary skill in the art would interpret the term "real-time" in Hesselink to mean "current time" or "near time," with minor delay(s) in observing or interacting with the controlled devices. In contrast, the independent claims recite that "electronic communication between the host device and the controlled device always occurs at an assigned control frequency." The "frequency-based, real-time electronic communications" recited in claim 1 are not possible if the standard TCP/IP protocol is used.

[1] Claims 11 and 22 recite "computing device" instead of "host device."

PAGE 11/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Shine also does not teach or suggest "establishing frequency-based, real-time electronic communications over a network between the host device and a controlled device," as recited in the independent claims. In fact, Applicants cannot find any teaching in Shine related to electronic communication between a host device and a controlled device over a network.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully assert that all pending claims are patentably distinct from the cited references, and request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If there are any remaining issues preventing allowance of the pending claims that may be clarified by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas M. Hardman

/Thomas M. Hardman/

Thomas M. Hardman
Reg. No. 51,777
Attorney for Applicant

Date: October 13, 2006

MADSON & AUSTIN
Gateway Tower West
15 West South Temple, Suite 900
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: 801/537-1700

PAGE 12/13 * RCVD AT 1/9/2007 12:22:18 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-14

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 09 2007

PTO/SB/30 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Request For Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal

Address to:
Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application Number	10/823,465
Filing Date	April 13, 2004
First Named Inventor	Walter E. Red
Examiner Name	Jennifer L. Norton
Group Art Unit	2121
Attorney Docket Number	1737.2.15

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application.
Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2.

1. Submission required under 37 CFR 1.114 Note: If the RCE is proper, any previously filed unentered amendments and amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unless applicant instructs otherwise. If applicant does not wish to have any previously filed unentered amendment(s) entered, applicant must request non-entry of such amendment(s).
   - a. ☐ Previously submitted. If a final Office Action is outstanding, any amendments filed after the final Office Action may be considered as a submission even if this box is not checked.
     - i. ☐ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on _____
     - ii. ☐ Other _____
   - b. ☒ Enclosed
     - i. ☒ Amendment/Reply
     - ii ☐ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)
     - iii. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)
     - iii. ☐ Other _____
2. Miscellaneous
   - a. ☐ Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for a period of _____ months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i) required)
   - b. ☐ Other _____
3. Fees The RCE fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) is required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 when the RCE is filed.
   - a. ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 13-0763
     - i. ☐ RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)
     - ii. ☐ Extension of time fee (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and 1.17)
     - iii. ☒ Other Any deficiencies in the enclosed fee(s)
   - b. ☐ Check in the amount of $_____ enclosed
   - c. ☒ Payment by credit card

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on Form PTO-2038.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED			
Signature	/Thomas M. Hardman/	*Date*	10/13/2006
Name (Print /Type)	Thomas M. Hardman	*Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)*	51,777

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office electronically via EFS-Web on the date shown below.			
Signature	/Thomas M. Hardman/		
Name (Print /Type)	Thomas M. Hardman	*Date*	10/13/2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.114. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and selection option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 09 2007
013

PTO/SB/22 (12-04)
Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) FY 2005 (fees effective on or after October 1, 2004)	Docket Number (Optional) 1737.2.15
Application Number 10/823,465	Filed April 13, 2004
For SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR CONTROLLING AND MONITORING MULTIPLE ELECTRONIC DEVICES	
Group Art Unit 2121	Examiner Jennifer L. Norton

This is a request under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) to extend the period for filing a response in the above identified application.

The requested extension and appropriate small-entity fee are as follows (check time period desired):

	Fee	Small Entity Fee	
☒ One month (37 CFR 1.17(a)(1))	$120	$60	$60
☐ Two months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(2))	$450	$225	$_____
☐ Three months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(3))	$1020	$510	$_____
☐ Four months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(4))	$1590	$795	$_____
☐ Five months (37 CFR 1.17(a)(5))	$2160	$1080	$_____

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.

☐ A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed.

☒ Payment by credit card.

☐ The Commissioner has already been authorized to charge fees in this application to a Deposit Account.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment of the above fees, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 13-0763.

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

I am the ☐ applicant/inventor.

☐ assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71
Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96).

☒ attorney or agent of record. Registration Number: 51,777

☐ attorney or agent under 37 CFR 1.34(a).

Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34(a). ___ .

/Thomas M. Hardman/	October 13, 2006
Signature	Date
Thomas M. Hardman	(801) 537-1700
Name	Telephone Number

NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.

☒ Total of 1 forms are submitted.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136(a). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 6 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and selection option 2.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 09 2007

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission: 12

Application Number	10/823,465
Filing Date	April 13, 2004
First Named Inventor	Walter E. Red
Art Unit	2121
Examiner Name	Jennifer L. Norton
Attorney Docket Number	1737.2.15

ENCLOSURES *(Check all that apply)*

- ☐ Fee Transmittal Form
  - ☐ Fee Attached
- ☑ Amendment/Reply
  - ☐ After Final
  - ☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)
- ☐ Extension of Time Request
- ☐ Express Abandonment Request
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement
- ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
- ☐ Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application
  - ☐ Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53
- ☐ Drawing(s)
- ☐ Licensing-related Papers
- ☐ Petition
- ☐ Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application
- ☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address
- ☐ Terminal Disclaimer
- ☐ Request for Refund
- ☐ CD, Number of CD(s) _____
  - ☐ Landscape Table on CD
- ☐ After Allowance Communication to TC
- ☐ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
- ☐ Appeal Communication to TC **(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)**
- ☐ Proprietary Information
- ☐ Status Letter
- ☑ Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
  -Request for Continued Examination
  -electronic acknowledgement receipt
  -petition for extension of time

Remarks

As requested by Examiner Jennifer L. Norton, we are re-submitting the following documents that were originally filed with the USPTO on October 14, 2006: (1) RCE, (2) Amendment/Reply, (3) Petition for Extension of Time. The electronic acknowledgement receipt that we received is also enclosed.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Madson & Austin		
Signature	/Thomas M. Hardman/		
Printed name	Thomas M. Hardman		
Date	January 9, 2007	Reg. No.	51,777

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature	/Thomas M. Hardman/		
Typed or printed name	Thomas M. Hardman	Date	January 9, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: **Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.